



Supercritical fluid extracted rapeseed oil, its chemical- and sensory profile

Frandsen, Heidi Blok; Wæhrens, Sandra Stolzenbach; Petersen, Mikael Agerlin; Sørensen, Hilmer; Sørensen, Susanne; Sørensen, Jens Christian

Publication date:
2017

Citation for published version (APA):

Frandsen, H. B., Wæhrens, S. S., Petersen, M. A., Sørensen, H., Sørensen, S., & Sørensen, J. C. (2017). *Supercritical fluid extracted rapeseed oil, its chemical- and sensory profile*. Abstract from 14th Euro Fed Lipid Congress, Ghent, Belgium.

Supercritical fluid extracted rapeseed oil, its chemical- and sensory profile

H. B. Frandsen*, S. S. Wæhrens, M. A. Petersen, H. Sørensen, S. Sørensen, J.C. Sørensen

University of Copenhagen, Department of Food Science, Rolighedsvej 26, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark
*hbf79@food.ku.dk


Introduction

Double low rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L) is among the world most produced oilseeds used for human oil consumption. This seed oil has a high level of triacylglycerols (TAGs) primarily consisting of the essential fatty acids (FAs) oleic acid (C18:1 ω 9); linoleic acid (C18:2, ω 6) and linolenic acid (C18:3, ω 3), with a ω 3: ω 6 ratio close to 1:2 [1]. This specific FA profile, as well as the content of antioxidants and phytosterols, are the major reasons why rapeseed is considered as health promoting when present in food and feed [1,2]. The industrial extraction of rapeseed oil is commonly performed with cold-pressing, warm-pressing and/or ether solvent extraction. Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) is, however, among the relatively newer alternatives for extraction of oil and other lipids [3,4]. High quality oil can be extracted using SFE and the method allows for a potential fractionation of different lipids. Selected rapeseed cultivars have been extracted to yield oil using different processing parameters and the oils have been evaluated with respect to aroma, taste and chemical profiles.

Processing conditions


Rapeseed		SFE conditions		Cold-pressed oils	Flower color	
Cultivar	Flower color	400 bar, 55 °C	300 bar, 55 °C	Pilot plant	yellow	[E]
Excalibur	Yellow	[A]		Bandholm no 4*	Yellow	[F]
Silvershadow	White	[B]		Lehnsgaard*	Yellow	[G]
Lyside	White	[C]	[D]	Bandholm no3*	yellow	[H]

*=commercial oil



Antioxidants


The extractability of antioxidants from rapeseed is higher with SFE than with cold-pressing of rapeseed. The average levels of β -carotenoid are 2.7 times higher in SFE oils than in cold pressed oils. Similar relations are observed for the tocopherols with about 2-2.5 higher level in SFE oil. In the SFE oils, a difference is observed between [C] and [D], as an effect of applied pressure (results not shown).



The carotenoids were analysed measuring UV absorbance at 480 nm and using 1% solution extinction coefficient of 2280. The tocopherols were analysed directly using normal phase HPLC. SFE: average of [A-D], coldpressed : average of [E-H]

Triacylglycerols

The profile of native triacylglycerols (TAGs) were analyzed with enhanced fluid liquid chromatography (EFLC) and detected with evaporative light scattering detection (ELSD) [3]. A similar profile was found in all oils, with minor variations between the amount of the individual TAGs in the different oils




Profile of the quantitative domination triglycerides in rapeseed oil analysed with enhanced fluid liquid chromatography (EFLC). O: oleic acid; P: palmitic acid; L: linoleic acid; Ln: linolenic acid.

Fatty acids


The ratio between the essential (FAs) linoleic acid (ω 6) and linolenic acid (ω 3) ranged between 1:1.7-2.7 (ω 3: ω 6) for cold-pressed oils, and between 1:2.0-2.7 for SFE oils.

No effects on the ω 3/ ω 6 ratio is seen when processing is performed with different pressures ([C] and [D]).



Linoleic acid (C18:2) and Linolenic acid (C18:3) determined by GC-FAME

Volatile compounds detected with SPE headspace GC-MS




PCA plot. Fingerprinting analysis of volatile compounds (no.1-147) detected with SPE headspace GC-MS and relation with differently processed rapeseed oils in double determination.

- Clear grouping between SFE and Cold-pressed oils with PCA
- More than 100 volatile compounds are detected including compounds in the chemical groups:
 - Aldehydes (e.g. heptanal (66), hexanal (45))
 - Ketones (e.g. 2-heptanone (65))
 - Alcohols (eg. 1-hexanol (90))
- Glucosinolate transformation compounds detected:
 - 1-Cyanobut-3-ene (76)
 - But-3-enylisothiocyanate (104)
 - Methylthiocyanate (75)

Sensory panel

- Different appearance was observed:
 - Cold-pressed oils: more transparent
 - SFE oils: more yellow and green (only [C])
- Clear grouping between SFE- and cold-pressed oil with PCA when flavor descriptions are plotted
- The sensory panel also discovered significant differences in
 - Taste
 - Mouthfeel
 - Odor
 - Aftertaste



PCA plot. Flavor descriptions in the different oil analyzed by 11 different judges

Conclusions

- SFE oils may contain a higher level of antioxidants than cold-pressed oils.
- Fingerprinting of aroma compounds from SPE headspace GC-MS is a tool that allows for distinguishing between SFE and coldpressed oils.
- SFE of rapeseed results in other types of flavors and tastes in the oil than found in coldpressed oils

References

- [1] Bart, C. Eur. J.Lipid.Sci.Technol. 2009,111,953-956
- [2] Kritchesky & Chen.Nutri. Research, 2005,25, 413-428
- [3] Sørensen et al., Chrom. and CE in Food analysis. Springer 1999
- [4] Buskov, S et al., Pol.J.Food NutrSci. 1997, 6,115-124.

Acknowledgements

Collaboration partners and Innovation Fund, Denmark (No 058-2012-01) are highly acknowledged for their contribution to this project (HITFOOD).